# Cabinet



St Edmundsbury borough council

Title of Report: Report No:	Recommendation of the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: 30 July 2015 – West Suffolk Risk Management Approach and Principles			
	CAB/SE/15/055			
Report to and date:	Cabinet	8 September 2015		
Portfolio Holder:	Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance <b>Tel:</b> 01284 810074 <b>Email</b> : <u>ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk</u>			
Chairman of the Committee:	Sarah Broughton Chairman of the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee <b>Tel:</b> 01284 787327 <b>Email:</b> sarah.broughton@stedsbc.gov.uk			
Lead Officer:	Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk			
Purpose of report:	To consider a new, positive approach to risk based on context, proportionality, judgement and evidence- based decision making that is considered on a case by case basis.			
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that, the West Suffolk Risk Management Approach and Principles, attached at Appendix 1 and the Supporting Flowchart attached at Appendix 2 to Report No: PAS/SE/15/018, be adopted.			

Key Decision: (Check the appropriate	definitio	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - $\Box$				
box and delete all those that <u>do not</u> apply.)	No, it is	No, it is not a Key Decision - 🛛				
<i>The decisions made a</i> <b>48 hours</b> and canno <b>publication of the o</b> Decisions Plan.	t be action	ed until	five clear working	g days of the		
Consultation: • See Report No: PAS/SE/15/018						
			Report No: PAS/SE/15/018			
Implications:		- 500		2/15/010		
Are there any <b>financ</b>	<b>ial</b> implication	Yes 🗆 No 🗆				
If yes, please give details			See Report No: PAS/SE/15/018			
Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications?			Yes  No			
If yes, please give details			• See Report No: PAS/SE/15/018			
Are there any <b>ICT</b> implications? If			Yes  No			
yes, please give details			• See Report No: PAS/SE/15/018			
Are there any <b>legal and/or policy</b>			Yes  No			
<i>implications? If yes, please give details</i>		• See Report No: PAS/SE/15/018				
Are there any <b>equal</b>		ions?	Yes 🗆 No 🗆			
If yes, please give de			See Report No: PAS/SE/15/018			
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)				
1	Inherent le risk (before controls)	vel of	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)		
See Report No: PAS/SE/15/018						
Wards affected:			All Wards			
Background papers:		Report No: PAS/SE/15/018 – West				
(all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)			Suffolk Risk Management Approach and Principles			
		Report No: <u>F76</u> - West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register (including West Suffolk Toolkit) dated 31 July 2014				
			Report No: <u>PAS/SE/15/010</u> - West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly update - 4 June 2015			
		Report No: <u>PAS/SE/15/009</u> – Performance management report 2015-16 – 4 June 2015				
Documents attached: None						

## **1.** Key issues and reasons for recommendation

### 1.1 Key Issues

#### A new approach to Risk

- 1.1.1 The Committee received Report No: PAS/SE/15/018, which set out a new, positive approach to risk based on context, proportionality, judgement and evidence-based decision making that was considered on a case by case basis.
- 1.1.2 The new approach to risk was based on seven core principles as detailed in Appendix 1 to Report No: PAS/SE/15/018.
  - A positive approach;
  - Contextual decision making;
  - Informed risk-taking;
  - Proportionate;
  - Decision risks vs delivery risks;
  - A documented approach; and
  - Continuous improvement.

The new approach to risk commits staff and members to evidence-based decision making that is considered on a case by case basis, taking into account the seven principles set out above.

#### Evidence-based Risk Management

- 1.1.3 Attached at Appendix 2 to the report was a flowchart which provided a summary of the various tools and documents that supported this evidencebased approach. It was these documents and tools that would enable the Council to achieve a learning culture which supported staff and Members, enabling managed risk-taking through positive relationships.
- 1.1.4 The West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register already aligns the Council's new risk management approach and principles and would continue to remain a key evidence-based document for members. As the balanced scorecard was developed the strategic risk contained in the current register would start to become part of the Balanced Scorecard enabling another of the performance dependencies to be available within a single place for each service area for scrutiny.

#### **Dissemination and implementation**

- 1.1.5 Once scrutinised and agreed, it was intended that it underpinned all thinking about risk by Members and staff. A communication plan would be developed to ensure that all Members and staff were aware of the principles and the available tools. The new approach would be reviewed periodically to ensure it continued to be fit-for-purpose, and relevant to the new types of decisions that both councils were making.
- 1.1.6 The Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee considered the report and has put forward a recommendation as set out on page one of this report.